The “Maine K-12 & School Choice Survey” project, commissioned by the Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice and conducted by Braun Research, Inc. (BRI), interviewed a statistically representative sample of registered voters in the state of Maine. Methodology included probability sampling and random-digit dial. The statewide sample includes a total of 604 telephone interviews completed in English from January 30 to February 6, 2013 by means of both landline and cell phone.

The margin of sampling error for the statewide sample is ± 4.0 percentage points.

BRI’s live callers conducted all phone interviews. For this entire project, a total of 7,387 calls were made in Maine. Of these calls, 2,108 were unusable phone numbers (disconnected, fax, busy, non-residential, or non-answers, etc.); 3,678 were usable numbers but eligibility unknown (including refusals and voicemail); 58 cell phone numbers were usable but not eligible for this survey; 33 people did not complete the survey. The average response rate of the landline interviews was 14.4%. The average response rate of the cell phone interviews was 11.5%.

Details on call dispositions, landline and cell phone response rates, and weighting are discussed in following sections.

**Sample Design**

A combination of landline and cellular random-digit-dial (RDD) samples was used to represent registered voters in Maine who have access to either a landline or cellular telephone. Both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International, LLC (SSI) according to BRI specifications.
SSI starts with a database of all listed telephone numbers, updated on a four- to six-week rolling basis, 25 percent of the listings at a time. All active blocks—contiguous groups of 100 phone numbers for which more than one residential number is listed—are added to this database. Blocks and exchanges that include only listed business numbers are excluded.

Numbers for the landline sample were drawn with equal probabilities from active blocks (area code + exchange + two-digit block number) that contained three or more residential directory listings. The cellular sample was not list-assisted, but was drawn through a systematic sampling from dedicated wireless 100-blocks and shared service 100-blocks with no directory-listed landline numbers.

**Contact Procedures**

Interviews were conducted from January 30 to February 6, 2013. As many as eight attempts were made to contact every sampled telephone number. The sample was released for interviewing in replicates, which are representative subsamples of the larger sample. Using replicates to control the release of sample ensures that complete call procedures are followed for the entire sample. Calls were staggered over times of day and days of the week to maximize the chance of making contact with potential respondents. Each phone number received at least one daytime call.

We have noticed over the last several years response rates have been declining for consumer polls. Generally, running surveys over a longer period of time will boost these response rates. However, lower response rates do not lead to lower reliability of the data. For example, polls with a sample size of 1,200 respondents run over a two-day period with response rates of 3% or 4% have been acceptable for public release.

The survey’s margin of error is the largest 95% Confidence Interval for any estimated proportion based on the total sample—the one around 50%. The overall margin of error for this survey is ± 4.0 percent. This means that in 95 of every 100 samples drawn using the same methodology, estimated proportions based on the entire sample will be no more than 4.0 percentage points away from their true values in the population.
It is critical to note that the margin of sampling error (MSE) is higher when considering the number of respondents for a given demographic subgroup. For example, the MSE for a subgroup of 150 respondents is ± 8.0 percentage points.

In addition to sampling error, question wording, ordering, and other practical difficulties when conducting surveys may introduce error or bias into the findings of public opinion research.

**Call Dispositions and Response Rates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Landline</th>
<th>Cell Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disconnected</strong></td>
<td>4,423</td>
<td>2,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government/Business</strong></td>
<td>1577</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non Cell Phone</strong></td>
<td>3,206</td>
<td>2,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non Landline</strong></td>
<td>1,271</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Usable</strong></td>
<td>2,909</td>
<td>1,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unusable</strong></td>
<td>4,423</td>
<td>2,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Released</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fax</strong></td>
<td>1577</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Usable/Eligible</strong></td>
<td>1,217</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Usable/Ineligible</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Usable/Legend</strong></td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>1,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under 18</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Not Registered in State</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terminate</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated Usability</strong></td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated Eligibility</strong></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response Rate</strong></td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Weighting Procedures and Analysis

Weighting is generally used in survey analysis to compensate for sample designs and patterns of non-response that might bias results. In this study, the sample demographics were balanced to population parameters. Using weighting targets, the sample was balanced to reflect the targeted population representation by Age, Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Region. The weighted and unweighted results are available on request.

All weighting measures are based on 2010 Census Bureau statistics for the state of Maine.

Special note: We calculated age distributions from date-of-birth information on file from the state’s respective registered voter database, as supplied by Aristotle International.
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About the Survey Organization

Braun Research, Inc. (BRI)

The Braun Research network of companies, founded in 1995, combined employ 47 full-time and more than 375 part-time employees engaged in data collection via telephone, and internet for various survey research firms, government and advertising agencies, local community organizations, local and national business groups, foundations, universities and academic entities, as well as religious organizations. In 18 years, Braun Research has conducted more than 8,800 research projects by telephone, internet, and mail worldwide.

Nationally-known research firms have hired Braun Research, including the Gallup Organization, the Pew Research Center, the Eagleton Poll, Mathematica Policy Research, and The Washington Post. Braun Research has worked for the New Jersey Department of Health and Human Services, as well as other government agencies including the United States Departments of the Treasury and Defense, and the Center for Disease Control.

Braun Research is a well-respected firm employing techniques and standards approved by various survey research academic organizations and other affiliations including those with whom Braun is an active member, including AAPOR (American Association for Public Opinion Research), MRA/CMOR (Market Research Association/Council on Marketing and Opinion Research), and CASRO (Council on American Survey Research Organizations).

Braun’s services on behalf of other research firms are up to standards required by various professional associations where Braun enjoys membership, and in some cases, participates actively. Paul Braun is a member of the MRA/CMOR committees on response rate improvement and in launching a seal of quality for the industry. Paul Braun is recognized as a leader in the field by colleagues who asked him to serve on these committees. He has served as President of the New Jersey Chapter of AAPOR.
About the Survey Sponsor

The Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice

The Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit and nonpartisan organization, solely dedicated to advancing Milton and Rose Friedman's vision of school choice for all children. First established as the Milton and Rose D. Friedman Foundation in 1996, the Foundation continues to promote school choice as the most effective and equitable way to improve the quality of K-12 education in America. The Foundation is dedicated to research, education, and outreach on the vital issues and implications related to choice and competition in K-12 education.

Commitment to Methods & Transparency

The Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice is committed to research that adheres to high scientific standards, and matters of methodology and transparency are taken seriously at all levels of our organization. We are dedicated to providing high-quality information in a transparent and efficient manner.

All individuals have opinions, and many organizations (like our own) have specific missions or philosophical orientations. Scientific methods, if used correctly and followed closely in well-designed studies, should neutralize these opinions and orientations. Research rules and methods minimize bias. We believe rigorous procedural rules of science prevent a researcher’s motives, and an organization’s particular orientation, from pre-determining results. If research adheres to proper scientific and methodological standards, its findings can be relied upon no matter who has conducted it. If rules and methods are neither specified nor followed, then the biases of the researcher or an organization may become relevant, because a lack of rigor opens the door for those biases to affect the results.

Our authors take full responsibility for research design, analysis, charts, and any unintentional errors or misrepresentations. They welcome any and all questions related to methods and findings.
About the Survey Release Partner

The Maine Heritage Policy Center (MHPC)

The Maine Heritage Policy Center is a research and educational organization whose mission is to formulate and promote conservative public policies based on the principles of free enterprise; limited, constitutional government; individual freedom; and traditional American values—all for the purpose of providing public policy solutions that benefit the people of Maine.

MHPC’s staff pursues this mission by undertaking accurate and timely research and marketing these findings to its primary audience: the Maine Legislature, nonpartisan Legislative staff, the executive branch, the state’s media, and the broad policy community. MHPC’s products include publications, articles, conferences, and policy briefings.

The Maine Heritage Policy Center researches and formulates innovative and proven conservative public policy solutions for Maine in five key areas:

- Economy/Taxation – The Jackson Center for Prosperity
- Education – The Center for Education Excellence
- Health Care – The Center for Health Reform Initiatives
- Transparency & Open Government – The Center for Open Government
- Constitutional Government – The Center for Constitutional Government

Governed by an independent Board of Directors, The Maine Heritage Policy Center is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, tax-exempt organization. MHPC relies on the generous support from individuals, corporations, and foundations, and does not accept government funds or perform contract work.